1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	STEVEN WAYNE BONILLA,	No. 2:18-cv-1200-EFB P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	DANA WALTON,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42	
18	U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff has not filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28	
19	U.S.C. § 1915 or paid the filing fee for this action.	
20	The federal venue statute provides that a civil action "may be brought in (1) a judicial	
21	district in which any defendant resides, if all defendants are residents of the State in which the	
22	district is located, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions	
23	giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action	
24	is situated, or (3) if there is no district in which an action may otherwise be brought as provided in	
25	this action, any judicial district in which any defendant is subject to the court's personal	
26	jurisdiction with respect to such action." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).	
27	In this case, the sole defendant – Judge Dana Walton of the Mariposa County Superior	
28	Court – is located in the Fresno division of this district. However, it is evident from the	

complaint and its attachments that plaintiff is attempting to challenge the judgment of conviction imposed upon him by the Alameda County Superior Court, which lies in the Northern District of California. Therefore, the court finds that the convenience of the parties and witnesses and the interests of justice are better served by transferring this action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. See 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a). Dated: May 30, 2018. EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE